

## **Department of Economic and Community Development**

## Office of Brownfield Remediation and Development

## Remedial Action and Redevelopment Municipal Grant Program & Targeted Brownfield Development Loan Program Project Rating Sheet

	The state of the s	 		_			
	Applicant Name:						
	Reviewer:						

Each of the criteria is ranked on a scale of 0 and up to 5, where zero is unsatisfactory/incomplete and five is outstanding.

	Max			
	Possible	Actual		
Municipal Financial Need (20 Points)	Points	Points	Note	Scoring Comments
Does unemployment exceed state or national averages in the municipality in which				
the project is located?	5		0 <b>or</b> 5 pts	
Is the median household income in the municipality in which the project is located				
below state or national averages?	5		0 <b>or</b> 5 pts	
Has the applicant demonstrated that "but for" the State's investment the				
assessment project could not proceed?	5		0 <b>to</b> 5 pts	
Is the site owned by the applicant (municipality or economic development agency)?	5		0 <b>to</b> 5 pts	

**Financial Need: Total Points** 20 0

	Max			
	Possible	Actual		
Assessment Project Feasibility (25 Points)	Points	Points	Note	Comments
Has the applicant provided a detailed project description outlining how they will				
implement the assessment project?	5		0 <b>to</b> 5 pts	
Has the applicant provided a detailed project budget for the assessment project?	5		0 <b>to</b> 5 pts	
Has any preliminary environmental investigation been completed at the site?	5		0 <b>to</b> 5 pts	
Has the applicant identified non-State funds to support the assessment project, and				
are those funds committed?	5		0 <b>or</b> 5 pts	
Does the assessment project have a strong likelihood of being completed within six				
months after contract closing?	5		0 <b>to</b> 5 pts	
Assessment Project Feasibility: Total Points	25	0		

	Max			
	Possible	Actual		
Redevelopment Project Economic and Community Impact (35 Points)	Points	Points	Note	Comments
Has the applicant articulated a clear vision and specific plan for re-use of the site,				
with buy-in from local elected officials, relevant municipal committees, community				
groups and other stakeholders?	5		0 <b>to</b> 5 pts	

**OBRD** Rating and Ranking 1 of 2

Will redevelopment of the project site(s) directly result in increased permanent		
jobs?	5	0 <b>or</b> 5 pts
Will redevelopment of the project site(s) directly result in an increase to the host		
municipality's tax base?	5	0 <b>or</b> 5 pts
Has the property been underused / vacant for more than a decade?	5	0 <b>or</b> 5 pts
Will future redevelopment of the project site result in addressing an unmet		
neighborhood, municipal and/or regional need?	5	0 <b>to</b> 5 pts
Will future redevelopment of the project site result in development of affordable		
and/or mixed income housing?	5	0 <b>or</b> 5 pts
Will redevelopment of the project site result in improved public health and		
environmental safety?	5	0 <b>or</b> 5 pts
Redevelopment Project Economic and Community Impact: Total Points	35	0

35

Max **Possible** Actual Responsible Growth and Livability Initiatives (15 Points) **Points Points** Comments Note Is the proposed project site(s) within a 1/2 mile walking distance of an existing or planned commuter rail station or bus stop? 5 0 **or** 5 pts Is the neighborhood of the proposed project site(s) zoned for mixed-use development? 5 0 **or** 5 pts Will the future development of the site include the rehabilitation of an historical property(ies) or mill? 5 0 **or** 5 pts 0

**Commitment, Responsible Growth: Total Points** 15

**Applicant Capacity and Experience (5 Points)** 

Note

Experience with completing similar projects on-time and within budget	5		0 <b>to</b> 5 pts	
Applicant Capacity and Experience: Total Points	5	0		

## Summary

Summary .		
Municipal Financial Need	20	0
Assessment Project Feasibility	25	0
Redevelopment Project: Economic and Community Impact	35	0
Responsible Growth and Livability Initiatives	15	0
Applicant Capacity and Experience	5	0
Total	100	0

**OBRD** Rating and Ranking 2 of 2